



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,752	03/21/2006	Syuuji Nakamura	27304U	3327
20529	7590	10/07/2008	EXAMINER	
THE NATH LAW GROUP			CHANG, JENNIFER F	
112 South West Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			2821	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/07/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

10/572,752

Examiner

JENNIFER F. CHANG

Applicant(s)

NAKAMURA ET AL.

Art Unit

2821

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: 7 and 10.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-6, 8 and 9.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____.

/Douglas W Owens/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2821

/JENNIFER F CHANG/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2821

Continuation of 5. The scope of claim 1 has been changed to include a limitation for a door handle. The scope of claim 6 has been changed to require the magnetic core to be laminated to a wiring layer. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejections to claims 6 and 8 under U.S.C. 112, 1st Paragraph are withdrawn. Rejections to claims 4 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over Maruyama are withdrawn. Rejections to claims 2 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Maruyama in view of Orthmann are withdrawn.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner agrees with the applicant's remarks that the wiring layer of claims 1 and 6 cannot be reasonably construed as the core winding or coil portion in Maruyama as stated in the final office action. Claims 1 and 6 further comprise an insulation covered conductor wound around the core, which would be more appropriately associated with the coil portion of Maruyama and cannot also be mapped to a wiring layer. However, Maruyama further comprises a bobbin portion which can be broadly interpreted as a wiring layer around which an insulation covered conductor is wound ("a wire... wound around an outer periphery of the bobbin portion" [0030]). Claims 1 and 6 do not require the wiring layer to actually supply and transmit electricity. Furthermore, the bobbin is laminated (i.e. bonded) to the core as described in [0031]. Therefore, the rejections to claims 1 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) presented in the second office action are not withdrawn. Furthermore, the rejections to claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) presented in the first office action have not been withdrawn or overcome by amendment.

Regarding claims 3, 5, 8, and 9, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., extending the PCB into the coil portion) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).